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A short post-harvest longevity remains a major limiting factor for many crops. Separation from plant leads quickly to ripening of fruits and senescence of flowers. In many species, ripening and senescence are ethylene-regulated. Thus, attempts have been made to retard the post-harvest processes by applying chemicals that inhibit ethylene synthesis. The long-term solution will probably be based on genetically modified plants with genes that either suppress synthesis or reduce sensitivity to ethylene. Several genes that confer ethylene insensitivity are known, e.g. tomato Nr and dominant mutants of Arabidopsis ethylene receptor sensor genes, ETR and ERS. Transformation with the ctr-1 allele delays fruit ripening, flower senescence and flower abscission of tomato and petunia. After the successful isolation of the Arabidopsis ethylene receptor gene ETR1, many ETR1-like genes has been isolated, based on sequence similarity and structural features, from various plant species. Characterization of ethylene receptor genes provides clues to understand how plants regulate their ethylene sensitivity. Therefore, an alteration of ethylene action is a valuable target for the genetic engineering of crops. Manipulation of ethylene biosynthesis or perception allows us to modulate these processes and thereby create plants with more robust and desirable traits, giving us a glimpse into the role of ethylene in the plant. The recent progress in genetic and protein analysis of ethylene receptors is summarized in this review. The possible strategies for altering the ethylene sensitivity of plants using ethylene receptor genes are also discussed.
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The plant hormone ethylene is involved in many aspects of the plant life cycle, including seed germination, root hair development, root nodulation, flower senescence, abscission, and fruit ripening. Despite its simple two-carbon structure, olefin ethylene is a potent modulator of plant growth and development. The production of ethylene is tightly regulated by internal signals during development and in response to environmental stimuli from biotic and abiotic stresses, such as wounding, hypoxia, ozone, chilling or freezing. To understand the roles of ethylene in plant functions, it is important to know how this gaseous hormone is synthesized, how its production is regulated, and how the signal is transduced. The pathway of ethylene biosynthesis has been elucidated during the last few decades, and the basis for subsequent biochemical and molecular genetic analysis of this pathway has been provided. Morphological changes in dark-grown (etiolated) seedlings treated with ethylene or its metabolic precursor, 1-amino cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC), have been termed the ‘triple response’. The exaggerated curvature of the apical hook, radial swelling of the hypocotyl, and shortening of the hypocotyl and root are unmistakable hallmarks of this ethylene response. Over the past decade, the triple response phenotype has been used to screen for mutants that are defective in ethylene responses. Etiolated Arabidopsis seedlings with minor or no phenotypic response upon ethylene application are termed ethylene-insensitive (ein) or ethylene-resistant (etr) mutants. Mutants have also been identified that display a constitutive triple response in the absence of ethylene. This class can be divided into subgroups based on whether or not the constitutive triple response can be suppressed by inhibitors of ethylene perception and biosynthesis, such as silver thiosulphate and aminoethoxyvinyl glyceine (AVG). Mutants that are unaffected by these inhibitors are termed constitutive triple-response (ctr) mutants, whereas those whose phenotype reverts to normal morphology are termed ethylene-over-producer (eto) mutants, which are defective in the regulation of hormone biosynthesis. The genetic hierarchy among ethylene biosynthesis and signalling pathway components in Arabidopsis has been established by epistasis analysis using these mutants.

Recent progress in understanding the mechanism underlying the ethylene perception and signal transduction pathway has stimulated the production of transgenic plants with altered ethylene sensitivity. Transgenic plants can be powerful tools for elucidating the regulatory role of ethylene in plant development and stress responses as well as those with altered ethylene biosynthesis. In addition, transgenic plants that confer reduced sensitivity and insensitivity to ethylene are expected to have extended post-harvest life for commercial use.

In this review, current progress in the analysis of ethylene receptor genes and their function, including our results is summarized, and the potential strategies to regulate ethylene sensitivity of plants using ethylene receptor genes are discussed.
Ethylene receptor genes: Isolation and characterization

Plant responses to hormones depend on the transmission of signals generated by the interaction of hormone ligands with their cognate receptors. This interaction is the first step in a complex series of reactions that results in the transduction and amplification of intracellular signals that alter gene expression and/or protein function. Ethylene perception in plant tissue requires specific receptors and a signal transduction pathway to coordinate downstream responses. Progress made in understanding the ethylene perception and signal transduction pathway has mainly been achieved by molecular studies that used the model plant Arabidopsis. Screening for alteration in the so-called triple response has identified ethylene response mutants, referring to morphological changes of dark grown seedlings in response to ethylene. Ethylene-insensitive mutants like ETR1-1 do not exhibit a triple response. The ETR1 gene was isolated using map-based cloning technology and shown to encode structural motifs reminiscent of the two-component environmental sensors. Environmental sensors are protein communication modules in bacteria that contain two structural motifs—transmitters and receivers—that can be arrayed in complex combinations. In the simplest format, a sensor device monitors an environmental variable that activates a histidine kinase (the transmitter). Five domains that characterize the sensor—histidine kinase, including the acceptor histidine, are conserved in the N-terminal portion of ETR1. The second motif in the communication module, called a response regulator, is generally an independent polypeptide and functions to receive the sensor signal. This culminates in phosphoryl transfer from the phosphorylated histidine kinase to an Asp residue in the response regulator. The activated receiver then modulates the activity of unique C-terminal output domain, commonly a transcriptional regulator. The sensor element can be of the simple type or the hybrid-type, in which the sensor–transmitter kinase domain has an attached regulator domain. Whether simple or hybrid, an additional independent regulator domain exists, hence the descriptive term ‘two-component system’. The gene ETR1 encodes a protein with amino acids similar to bacterial two-component sensor response–regulator systems and acts early in the response pathway as an ethylene receptor.

Investigations of various ethylene-response mutants have led to the isolation of several genes that encode proteins involved in ethylene perception (ETR1, ETR2, and ERS from Arabidopsis, and NR from tomato). The deduced structures of the ethylene receptors include three or four putative transmembrane domains at the amino-terminus. Schaller and Bleecker demonstrated that the amino-terminal portion of ETR1 could bind ethylene. Ethylene receptors function as dimers in the cell membrane, and copper, a transition metal, is necessary as a cofactor for the binding of ethylene. The amino acid sequences of the isolated mutant forms of ETR1, ETR2, and NR each include a single amino acid substitution in the hydrophobic amino-terminal domain, which results in an ethylene-insensitive phenotype. The amino acid sequence of ETR1 includes a putative histidine kinase domain in which all of the residues required for histidine kinase activity are conserved. Studies of ethylene perception in Arabidopsis have demonstrated that the mode of action of the receptors is essentially inhibitory: the receptors actively repress ethylene responses in the absence of ethylene and they become inactive upon binding ethylene.

Five members of the putative ethylene receptor gene family in Arabidopsis have been cloned. The five genes appear to belong to two subfamilies. Subfamily 1 consists of ETR1 and ERS1, whereas subfamily 2 contains ETR2, EIN4 and ERS2. Members of the same subfamily have higher amino acid sequence homology with each other than with members in the other subfamily. Additionally, genes in the same family have conserved intron positions that are not shared between the two subfamilies (Figure 1). This division of subfamilies is not related to the presence or absence of the receiver domain (ERS1 and ERS2 belong to different subfamilies). A similar family of two-component ethylene receptors has also been characterized in tomato, where five putative receptor genes have been cloned, termed LeETR1–LeETR5. One of these homologues (LeETR3) was identified as the gene associated with the never-ripe (NR) phenotype. Predicted protein sequences of LeETR1 and LeETR2 are highly homologous to Arabidopsis ETR1, while NR exhibits similarity to Arabidopsis ERS1, also missing the response regulator domain. LeETR4 and LeETR5 are most closely related to Arabidopsis ETR2 and EIN4. ETR1 homologues have also been

Figure 1. Structural characteristics of members of the ETR1 family of ethylene receptors from Arabidopsis. For all members of the family, homology extends from the ethylene-binding domain through the histidine kinase-related transmitter domain. Where present, conserved sub-domain characteristics of functional histidine kinases are indicated by the letters H, N, G, F, and G. Based on sequence similarities and defining structural characteristics, the family can be divided into the ETR1 and ETR2 subfamily. Amino acid substitutions that individually confer dominant insensitivity throughout the plant are indicated.
isolated from other plants: the RP-ERS1 cDNA from Rume-x palustris, Cm-ETR1 and Cm-ERS1 cDNAs from Cucumis melo, PE-ETR1 and PE-ERS1 cDNAs from Passiflora edulis, PhETR1 and PhETR2 from Pelargoni- nium X hortorum, NTKH1 from tobacco, Prunus persica, NT-ERS1 from tobacco, and PcETR1 and PcERS1 from Prurus communis. Recently, a cDNA from deep-water rice treated with ethylene encoding ethylene receptor homologues to Arabidopsis thaliana ETR2 and EIN4 was isolated.

Most information on the biology of fruit ripening and flower senescence has been obtained from the study of fruits and flowers, where the gaseous plant hormone ethylene coordinates the entire perception process. Gladiolus is a popular type of cut-flower, but the ornamental longevity is short. Exogenous ethylene and ethylene inhibitors have no effect on petal senescence of gladiolus. Most ethylene insensitivity to gladiolus flowers has mainly focused on dicotyledonous plants such as rice. Rice is an important cereal grain and a major food source for more than one-third of the world’s population. The molecular cloning of a genomic sequence of a putative ethylene receptor gene designated as OSERS1 from rice. In addition, the isolation of four other ethylene receptor gene homologues designated as OSERS2, OSETR2, OSETR3 and OSETR4 is described. The differential expression patterns of OSERS1, OSERS2 and OSETR2 in different tissues of rice have also been compared together with their response to exogenous auxin and the ethylene action inhibitor, silver ion.

A phylogenetic tree, constructed from various ethylene receptors, indicates that similar to Arabidopsis sequences, the five ethylene receptor proteins in rice can be divided into two subfamilies. One subfamily consists of OSERS1 and OSERS2 (only E3-type homologues are present) in which they do not have the receiver domain, whereas the second group contains OSETR2, OSETR3, and OSETR4. OSETR2, OSETR3 and OSETR4 are more structurally divergent from each other, and OSETR3 and OSETR4 contain only three N-terminal hydrophobic regions. The putative histidine kinase domains in these three members are different from the canonical bacterial histidine kinase sequences. Notably, the autophosphorylated histidine residue is absent in both OSETR2 and OSETR3, suggesting that they may not be active histidine kinases.

Analysis of the expression of the three-ethylene receptor genes in rice has demonstrated their tissue or developmental stage specificity. Both in Arabidopsis and tomato, the expression patterns alter among different ethylene receptor homologues. For instance, At-ERS1 was ubiquitously expressed in Arabidopsis, whereas stronger expression of AtEIN4 was observed in pollen and tapetum cells compared with the At-EIN4 mRNA level in leaves and roots. Furthermore, in tomato, Le-ETR1 was expressed constitutively in all the tissues examined, whereas Le-ETR2 was expressed at a low level in vegetative tissues but up-regulated in seeds before germination. In rice, the three ethylene receptor genes (OSERS1, OSERS2 and OSETR2) also behave similarly in that their corresponding mRNA levels vary in different tissues. OSERS1 was constitutively expressed in all the tissues studied, whereas OSETR2 was expressed at lower levels in green vegetative tissues than in young etiolated seedlings. By contrast, the transcript levels of OSERS2 (when compared with that of OSERS1 and OSETR2) are low, but still detectable, and present at a higher amount in green vegetative tissues than in etiolated seedlings. Notably, the expression levels of these three mRNAs are strongest in reproductive tissues such as anthers, when compared with their corresponding abundance in other tissues. It is also worth noting that only anthers isolated from two different developmental stages (meiotic and microspore stage) of pollen were used in the study. Therefore, active involvement of the ethylene receptor gene family during early pollen development implies that ethylene may play an important role in regulating the initial formation stages of anther.

Recently, it has also been demonstrated that flooding could also stimulate the accumulation of OSETR2 mRNA in rice seedlings. Similar to these findings, an exogenous stimulus such as IAA or ethylene could also up-regulate the expression levels of both OSETR2 and OSERS1 (although to a much lesser extent) in etiolated rice seedlings. However, the response of OSETR2 to either ethylene or IAA is more sensitive than that of OSERS1. It has been reported that auxin could stimulate ethylene production in various plant tissues by promoting de novo synthesis of ACC synthase. It was proposed that the effect of IAA on the positive regulation of both OSERS1 and OSETR2 mRNA levels is mediated through an ethylene-dependent pathway. The evidence that this up-regulation process could be completely blocked by pretreatment with silver ions, further supports this hypothesis. The OSERS2 transcript level was shown to be down-regulated by both IAA and ethylene, since its level decreased inversely with increase in ethylene production applied or produced. This result indicates that OSERS2 is not positively regulated by ethylene. More ethylene receptors can actually desensitize the tissue to ethylene, while fewer ethylene receptors increase its sensitivity. Therefore, it is possible that reduction of OSERS2 transcript levels can ultimately increase the ethylene sensitivity of rice in response to IAA- or ethylene-treated etiolated rice seedlings. Consider-
ing the up-regulation of *OS-ETR2* mRNA levels by ethylene and the down-regulatory effect of ethylene on *OS-ERS2* expression would seem paradoxical. Further studies are needed to establish the correlation between ethylene receptor levels and sensitivity to ethylene as well as their individual threshold levels, to initiate ethylene responses.

EIN2 is a central signal transducer in the ethylene-signalling pathway, and a unique membrane-anchored protein. By screening a cDNA library, a cDNA clone has been isolated (OsEIN2) that encodes the rice EIN2 homologue. *OsEIN2* shares significant amino acid sequence similarity with *Arabidopsis* EIN2 (57% similarity and 42% identity). Both the number and position of introns and exons in the *OsEIN2* and *AtEIN2* coding regions are also conserved. To address whether this structural similarity is indicative of functional conservation of the corresponding proteins, transgenic lines expressing the antisense construct of *OsEIN2* were also generated. Transgenic plants were stunted and shoot elongation was severely inhibited. Their phenotypes were similar to those found with wild-type rice seedlings that were treated with AgNO₃, an ethylene signal inhibitor. In *OsEIN2* antisense plants, expression levels of two ethylene-responsive genes, *SC129* and *SC25*, were decreased compared with the wild types. These results suggest that *OsEIN2* is a positive component of the ethylene-signalling pathway in rice, just as *AtEIN2* is in *Arabidopsis*. Their antisense transgenic plants produced approximately 3.5 times more ethylene than wild-type plants. Expression analysis of rice *ACS* and *ACO* genes showed that the transcript levels of *OsACS1* and *OsACO1* were elevated in transgenic plants.

Ethylene is perceived by a system typically consisting of two proteins: a histidine kinase as the sensor that auto-phosphorylates an internal histidine residue in response to environmental signals, and a response regulator that activates the downstream components upon receiving a phosphate from the histidine residue of the sensor on its aspartate residue. Since homodimerization of *ETR1* and *ERS1* has been observed in plants, receptors that do not have the receiver domains, *ETR1* and *ERS2*, have been postulated to use the receiver domains of other proteins by forming heterodimers with them. The fact that members of a family of photoreceptors, the phytochromes, have a histidine kinase domain related to two-component systems but exhibit serine/threonine kinase activity, supports the notion that the ETR2 class of receptors may function not as histidine kinases but possibly as serine/threonine kinases. Several models have been proposed for receptor function ethylene signal transduction. The receptors could be modulating *CTR1* kinase activity directly by a change in their conformation in response to ethylene binding, or phosphorylation of the receptors could be responsible for receptor and *CTR1* turnover after ethylene binding. It cannot be ruled out that the receptors might be sequestering a downstream component of the signalling pathway that is released upon ethylene binding and/or protein phosphorylation. In this scenario, phosphorylation could lead to receptor turnover or a change in its conformation, either of which would lead to release of the sequestered component. To answer these questions it will be necessary to know if serine phosphorylation occurs in vivo and whether serine phosphorylation is required to maintain the repressed state or to release this repression. The biochemical data presented by Moussatche and Klee provide support for genetic evidence that histidine autophosphorylation is not necessary for maintaining the repression of ethylene signalling and suggest that receptor signalling does not occur through a phosphorelay. However, as autophosphorylation has been retained despite sequence divergence of the ethylene receptor family, it seems likely that this activity is important for receptor function.

Genetic and biochemical analyses of the ethylene receptors have lent insight into the mechanism of regulation in plants. *etr1*, *etr2*, and *ein4* were initially identified as dominant ethylene-insensitive plants. Similar missense mutations introduced into the N-terminal transmembrane domain of *ERS1* and *ERS2* cause the same ethylene insensitive phenotype, suggesting their role in ethylene perception. Isolation of the loss-of-function alleles of *ETR1*, *ETR2*, *EIN4* and *ERS2* by screening for intragenic suppressors of the dominant receptor mutants provides genetic evidence of how the ethylene receptors actually work. The absence of phenotypes in single-receptor mutants suggests that in spite of the structural differences, there is functional redundancy (or compensation of function) among the receptors. The constitutive triple response observed in a quadruple-receptor mutant indicates that the receptors negatively regulate this ethylene response. Consistent with these elegant genetic studies is the observation that the dominant ethylene-insensitive mutant *etr1* binds less ethylene. The synthesis of the results from both genetic and biochemical studies leads one to conclude that ethylene receptors are inactivated by ethylene binding. Interestingly, members of both *ETR1* and *ETR2* subfamilies have also been identified in other plant species. In tomato, the Never Ripe (NR) gene encodes a receptor similar to the *ETR1* class with no receiver domain, whereas *LeETR4* is an *ETR2* class member with a receiver domain. Reduction in the expression level of *LeETR4* leads to enhanced ethylene responses in tomato plants, and overexpression of *NR* can compensate for the loss of *LeETR4* and eliminates ethylene sensitivity. These results reveal that mechanisms of ethylene perception are likely conserved among flowering plants. In the course of purifying the *ETR1* ethylene-binding activity, it was discovered that the addition of copper ions was required for the recovery of binding activity in yeast extracts. Subsequently, it was shown that copper copurifies in stoichiometric amounts with the ethylene-binding domain extracted from membranes of yeast overexpressing the *ETR1* binding domain. Both ethylene-binding activity and copurification of copper were eliminated when the *etr1-1* mutation, a conversion of Cys65 to Tyr, was introduced into the protein. Among several transition metals tested, only silver ions mimicked
the effect of copper. This is consistent with the close chemical similarities of these two ions, and also provides a possible explanation for the inhibitory effects of silver ions on ethylene responses in vivo. Silver appears to be capable of replacing copper and interacting with ethylene, but not in transducing the signal to downstream effectors. Further evidence for a role of copper in ethylene signalling comes from the characterization of the Arabidopsis responsive-to-antagonist (RAN1) gene. Two weak mutant alleles, ran1-1 and ran1-2, were identified in a screen for mutants that displayed an ethylene-like triple response to treatment with the potent ethylene antagonist, trans-cyclooctene. More importantly, the mutant allele ran1-3/ctr2 or co-suppression of the RAN1 gene led to a constitutive ethylene response phenotype. This is consistent with a loss-of-receptor function.

This phenotype can be partially rescued by exogenous copper application. Cloning and subsequent functional analysis of RAN1 revealed that it encodes a copper transporter that shares similarity with copper-transporting P-type ATPases such as the yeast Ccc2p and human Menkes/Wilson disease proteins. Taken together, these findings indicate that RAN1 is involved in delivery of copper to the ethylene receptor and that this copper-delivery pathway is required to create functional ethylene receptors in plants.

**Signalling**

In a screen for Arabidopsis mutants that display the constitutive triple-response phenotype, only one complementation group, ctr1, proved to be unaffected by ethylene synthesis inhibitors or ethylene antagonists. Genetic epistatic analysis has placed CTR1 downstream of the ethylene receptors in the ethylene-signalling pathway (Figure 2). The recessive nature and constitutive phenotype of the ctr1 mutant indicate that CTR1 is a negative regulator of downstream signalling events. Cloning of the CTR1 gene revealed that it belongs to the Raf family of Ser/Thr protein kinases that initiate mitogen-activated protein (MAP)-kinase signalling cascades in mammals. The similarity of CTR1 to known MAPKKKs implies that ethylene signalling may operate through a MAP-kinase cascade (Figure 3). Although many genes with homology to MAPKKs and MAPKs have been identified in the Arabidopsis genome sequence, to date none has been associated with ethylene signalling. Thus far, no intermediate components have been identified genetically or biochemically to act between the receptors and CTR1 kinase. In fact, yeast two-hybrid and in vitro bindings have shown that the kinase domain of ETR1 and ERS1 can directly interact with CTR1. Because the response regulator domain...
of ETR1 can also interact with several Arabidopsis histidine-containing phosphotransfer proteins\textsuperscript{58}, in vivo relevance of these in vitro interactions needs to be confirmed.

Identification of the MAPKs that respond to and mediate ethylene signalling is important. However, it is equally interesting to know whether the identified MAPK pathway functions independently or as part of the genetically defined ethylene-signalling cascade. For this purpose, mutants of all four identified components of the ethylene pathway, ETR1, CTR1, EIN2 and EIN3, were analysed biochemically for their ethylene-inducible MPK6 MAPK activity profile in the absence of and after treatment with ACC\textsuperscript{59}. Dominant ethylene-insensitive etr1-1 mutants showed no MPK6 activity in the absence or presence of ACC, indicating that ETR1 is an upstream component of the MAPK pathway. In contrast, ctr1 mutants revealed constitutive activation of the MPK6 kinase, demonstrating that CTR1 functions as an upstream negative regulator of the MAPK pathway. These results support the concept that ETR1 is an upstream regulator of CTR1 and the MAPK pathway. In wild-type plants, ETR1 becomes inactivated in response to ethylene. However, in the dominant insensitive etr1-1 mutant, etr1 cannot be inactivated by ethylene and therefore CTR1 stays constitutively active, abrogating the ability of ethylene to activate the MAPK pathway.

Although consistent with the genetic model that CTR1 functions as a negative regulator of ethylene signalling, the result that a protein kinase loss-of-function CTR1 mutant should result in activation of its downstream MAPKs is surprising. However, EDR1, encoding another Arabidopsis MAPKKK, was also identified as a negative regulator of pathogen defence responses\textsuperscript{46}. Moreover, complementation assays of CTR1 in yeast and functional analyses of mammalian Raf-1 raise the possibility that these MAPKKKs might not be functioning in MAPKK activation, but in that of other targets\textsuperscript{60,62}. In this context, it is worth noting that in contrast to other MAPKKs, SIMKK shows high auto activity that is likely to be due to the unique feature of carrying a negatively charged amino acid in its phosphorylation loop\textsuperscript{63}. This feature could allow SIMKK regulation through direct physical interaction with CTR1 or as yet unknown intermediate factor(s). In this scenario, ethylene-induced MAPK activation would be relayed through CTR1-mediated relief of SIMKK inhibition (Figure 3).

Biochemical analysis of the ethylene-insensitive ein2 and ein3 mutants showed no enhanced MPK6 activity in untreated plants, but normal activation upon ACC treatment. These data are consistent with the notion that the MAPK pathway functions either upstream or independently of EIN2 and EIN3. Since SIMKK transgenic lines with constitutively active MPK6 show enhanced expression of ethylene-inducible marker genes, it is likely that EIN2 and EIN3 are downstream targets of the MAPK pathway.

Taken together, this work shows that a MAPK pathway is involved in ethylene signalling in Medicago and Arabidopsis plants. In accordance with previous genetic and biochemical analyses, the following model for ethylene signal transduction is proposed (Figure 3). ETR1 acts upstream of the MAPK module, being composed of the MAPKKK CTR1, the MAPKK SIMKK, and the MAPKs SIMK/MMK3 or MPK6/13. In agreement with the genetic model, data\textsuperscript{63} indicate that CTR1 acts as a negative regulator of the downstream MAPKs, which are upstream regulators of ethylene target genes. How the MAPKs activate ethylene target genes through EIN2 and EIN3 presently is unclear, but physical interaction and phosphorylation are obvious options that are under investigation.

Genetic epistasis analysis of ethylene response mutants has shown that EIN2 acts downstream of CTR1 and upstream of EIN3. Null mutations in EIN2 result in the complete loss of ethylene responsiveness throughout plant development, suggesting that EIN2 is an essential positive regulator in the ethylene-signalling pathway. EIN2 encodes a novel integral membrane protein\textsuperscript{64}. The N-terminal hydrophobic domain of EIN2 shows similarity to members of the NRAMP family, which includes metal-ion transporters such as the yeast Smf1p, Drosophila Malvolio, and mammalian DCT1. The C-terminal hydrophilic region has no homology to any known protein, although it does have motifs typically involved in protein–protein interactions. Overexpression of the C-terminal portion of the protein (EIN2 CEND) in an ein2 null background results in constitutive activation of some but not all ethylene responses and restores the ability of the mutant
to respond to paraquat and jasmonic acid, but not ethylene. These results suggest that the N-terminal portion of EIN2 is necessary for sensing the ethylene signal from upstream components in the pathway, whereas EIN2 CEND is required for transducing the signal to the downstream components. Interestingly, ein2 mutants have been independently isolated in several different genetic screens designed to identify components of other signalling pathways. For example, ein2 mutants have been found in screens for defects in auxin transport inhibitor resistance\(^6\), cytokinin response\(^6\), ABA hypersensitivity\(^7,8\) and delayed senescence\(^9\). In addition, ein2 mutants also show altered sensitivity to several bacterial and fungal pathogens. At least in some cases, such as cytokinin resistance and delayed senescence, the abnormalities observed in ein2 are simply the result of its ethylene insensitivity.

Five ethylene-insensitive loci (\textit{wei1}–\textit{wei5}) were identified\(^7\) using a low-dose screen for ‘weak’ ethylene-insensitive mutants. \textit{wei1}, \textit{wei2} and \textit{wei3} seedlings showed hormone insensitivity only in roots, whereas \textit{wei4} and \textit{wei5} displayed insensitivity in both roots and hypocotyls. The genes corresponding to \textit{wei1}, \textit{wei4} and \textit{wei5} were isolated using a positional cloning approach. The \textit{wei1} mutant harboured a recessive mutation in \textit{TIR1}, which encodes a component of the SCF protein ubiquitin ligase involved in the auxin response. \textit{wei4}, a dominant mutant, resulted from a mutation in the ethylene receptor \textit{ERS}, whereas \textit{wei5}, a semidominant mutant, was caused by a mutation in the \textit{EIN3}–related transcription factor gene \textit{EIL1}. The simultaneous loss of functional \textit{WEI5}/\textit{EIL1} and \textit{EIN3} nearly completely abolished the ethylene response in etiolated seedlings, and adult plants were highly susceptible to infection by the necrotrophic fungal pathogen \textit{Botrytis cinerea}. Moreover, \textit{wei5/\textit{eill} ein3} double mutants were able to fully suppress constitutive signalling caused by \textit{ctr1}, suggesting a synergistic interaction among these gene products. Unlike previously known root ethylene-insensitive mutants, \textit{wei2} and \textit{wei3} were not affected in their response to auxin and showed a normal response to gravity. Genetic mapping studies indicate that \textit{wei2} and \textit{wei3} correspond to previously unidentified ethylene pathway genes that may control cell-elongation processes functioning at the intersection of the ethylene and auxin response pathways.

**Nuclear events**

Many ethylene responses involve changes in gene expression. The cloning of \textit{EIN3} provided direct evidence for nuclear regulation in the early ethylene signal transduction pathway\(^7\). \textit{EIN3} encodes a novel nuclear-localized protein that belongs to a multigene family in \textit{Arabidopsis}. Among six members of this family, \textit{EIN3}, \textit{EIN3}-like-1 (\textit{EIL1}), and \textit{EIL2}, can rescue the \textit{ein3} mutant phenotypes. This indicates that not only \textit{EIN3} but also \textit{EIL1} and \textit{EIL2} are involved in ethylene signal transduction, explaining why null mutations in \textit{ein3} cause only partial ethylene insensitivity. Overexpression of \textit{EIN3} in an \textit{ein2} null mutant background causes constitutive activation of the ethylene response, similar to overexpression of the \textit{EIN2} CEND, confirming that \textit{EIN3} acts downstream of \textit{EIN2}. \textit{EIN3} gene expression is not induced by ethylene. This result indicates that \textit{EIN3} may be regulated by ethylene at the protein level. \textit{EIN3}-like transcription factors have also been identified in other plant species. The tobacco \textit{EIN3}-like gene, \textit{TEIL}, has been cloned. Plants that overexpress the \textit{TEIL} cDNA exhibit constitutive triple-response phenotypes\(^7\). Tomato orthologues of \textit{EIN3}-like genes, \textit{LeEIL1}, \textit{LeEIL2} and \textit{LeEIL3}, have also been cloned\(^7\). Each complements the \textit{ein3-1} mutation in transgenic \textit{Arabidopsis}, indicating that all are likely involved in ethylene responses. Antisense tomato plants with reduced expression of a single \textit{LeEIL} gene did not exhibit significant changes in ethylene response. However, reduced expression of multiple tomato \textit{LeEIL} genes reduced significantly the sensitivity to ethylene, providing evidence of functional redundancy.

A search for target promoters for the \textit{EIN3} family of proteins led to the identification of the primary ethylene response element in the promoter of the \textit{ERF1} gene\(^8\). \textit{In vitro} DNA-binding studies revealed that homodimers of either \textit{EIN3} or \textit{EIL1} proteins were able to bind primary ethylene response elements\(^9\) in the promoters of \textit{ERF1}. \textit{ERF1} belongs to a large family of plant-specific transcription factors referred to as ethylene-response-element binding proteins (\textit{EREBPs}). \textit{EREBPs} were originally identified on the basis of their ability to bind to the GCC box, a DNA motif associated with ethylene- and pathogen-induced gene expression. \textit{EIN3} is both necessary and sufficient to stimulate \textit{ERF1} expression. Moreover, overexpression of \textit{ERF1} in an \textit{ein3} background leads to constitutive activation of a subset of ethylene phenotypes. These results indicate that \textit{ERF1} may regulate one branch of the ethylene response pathway downstream of \textit{EIN3}. Interestingly, although a large number of \textit{EREBPs} have been found in the \textit{Arabidopsis} genome and other plant species\(^7\), only a few have been shown to be regulated by ethylene\(^7\). Salicylic acid, jasmonic acid, salt, drought and other stresses are among the growing number of stimuli known to regulate the expression of these genes\(^7\). Thus, in keeping with the more general role for the \textit{EREBPs}, the GCC box is likely to be a more general transcriptional regulatory element that is not specific to the ethylene response.

Ubiquitination of various intracellular proteins by ubiquitin-protein ligases (or E3s) plays an essential role in eukaryotic cell regulation, primarily through its ability to selectively target proteins for degradation by the 26S proteasome. \textit{Skp1}, \textit{Cullin}, F-box (SCF) complexes are an influential E3 class that use F-box proteins to deliver targets to a core ligase activity provided by the \textit{Skp1}, \textit{Cullin}, and \textit{Rbx1} subunits. Almost 700 F-box proteins can be found in \textit{Arabidopsis}, indicating that SCF E3s likely play a
pervasive role in plant physiology and development. The reverse genetic analysis of two F-box proteins, EB1 and EB2, work coordinately in SCF complexes to repress ethylene action\(^8\). Mutations in either gene cause hypersensitivity to exogenous ethylene and its precursor 1-amino-cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid. EB1 and EB2 interact directly with ethylene insensitive 3 (EIN3), a transcriptional regulator important for ethylene signalling. Levels of EIN3 are increased in mutants affecting either EB1 or EB2, suggesting that the corresponding SCF complexes work together in EIN3 breakdown. Surprisingly, double ebf1 ebf2 mutants display a substantial arrest of seedling growth and have elevated EIN3 levels, even in the absence of exogenous ethylene. Collectively, their results show that the SCF\(^{EBF1/EBF2}\)-dependent ubiquitination and subsequent removal of EIN3 is critical not only for proper ethylene signalling, but also for growth in plants\(^8\).

The magnitude of the ethylene response directly correlates with the level of EIN3, indicating that this transcription factor sits at a key checkpoint in the response pathway\(^8\). The action of EIN3 is, in part, modulated by alterations in its half-life\(^8, 84, 86\), whereas ethylene appears to promote EIN3 stability; glucose, which antagonizes ethylene action, promotes its breakdown. This breakdown is dramatically retarded by the 26S proteasome-inhibitor MG132, suggesting that EIN3 levels are controlled by the Ub/26S proteasome pathway\(^86\). Taken together, it appears that various signals, including ethylene, converge to directly modulate EIN3 turnover, possibly by inhibiting or promoting its ubiquitination by one or more E3s and/or subsequent turnover by the 26S proteasome.

The EIN3 protein levels rapidly increase in response to ethylene and this response requires several ethylene-signalling pathway components, including the ethylene receptors\(^8\) (ETR1 and EIN4), CTR1, EIN2, EIN5, and EIN6. In the absence of ethylene, EIN3 is quickly degraded through a ubiquitin/proteasome pathway mediated by two F-box proteins, EB1 and EB2. Plants containing mutations in either gene show enhanced ethylene response by stabilizing EIN3, whereas \(ebf1\) or \(ebf2\) double mutants show constitutive ethylene phenotypes. Plants overexpressing either F-box gene display ethylene insensitivity and destabilization of EIN3 protein. These results reveal that a ubiquitin/proteasome pathway negatively regulates ethylene responses by targeting EIN3 for degradation, and pinpoint EIN3 regulation as the key step in response to ethylene. The results were also confirmed by identifying two Arabidopsis F-box proteins, EB1 and EB2, that interact physically with EIN3/EIL transcription factors\(^87\). EB1 overexpression results in plants insensitive to ethylene. In contrast, plants carrying the \(ebf1\) and \(ebf2\) mutations display a constitutive ethylene response and accumulate the EIN3 protein in the absence of the hormone. Their work places EB1 and EB2 within the genetic framework of the ethylene-response pathway and supports a model in which ethylene action depends on EIN3 protein stabilization.

**New ethylene mutants**

Several novel ethylene-related mutants have been identified. The Arabidopsis mutant enhanced ethylene response (eer) was identified by novel genetic screen using subthreshold levels of ethylene\(^85\). The eer1 mutant displays increased ethylene sensitivity in the hypocotyl and stem, but reduced sensitivity in root. Like the eio class of ethylene overproducer mutants, the eer1 mutant phenotype is suppressed by treatment with the ethylene biosynthesis inhibitor AVG. Similarly, the eer1 phenotype is completely suppressed by the ethylene-insensitive mutations etr1-1 and ein2-1. However, eer1 displays a highly exaggerated triple-response phenotype and shows an additive effect when combined with the constitutive ethylene response mutant ctrl-3, suggesting that the eer1 phenotype is not simply the result of ethylene overproduction. eer1 seedlings have significantly elevated levels of basic-chitinase expression, suggesting that eer1 may be highly sensitive to low levels of endogenous ethylene. Interestingly, like ran1 mutant, eer1 shows ethylene-like responses to ethylene receptor antagonists. Although the specific step at which EER1 acts has not been established, these results suggest that EER1 may act in addition to CTR1 to oppose ethylene responses in the hypocotyl and stem. It is possible that EER1 can regulate ethylene receptor function or is involved in an alternate ethylene-signalling pathway that bypasses the requirement for functional CTR1. Cloning and characterization of EER1 should help elucidate its role in ethylene response.

There is also new information about an old tomato ethylene-related mutant called epinastic (epi). Dark-grown epi seedlings display a phenotype similar to the triple response in the absence of ethylene\(^89\). Double mutant analysis between epi and dominant ethylene-insensitive receptor mutant NR revealed that epi likely acts downstream of ethylene receptor NR. Interestingly, unlike ctrl1, epi does not demonstrate a global constitutive ethylene response, suggesting a role for EPI either in the regulation of a subset of ethylene responses regulating the cell expansion or in an independent pathway required for normal growth. In addition, epi does not show linkage to either of the two previously reported tomato CTR1 homologues\(^90\), LeCTR1 and LeCTR2. Cloning and characterization of the genes corresponding to these new mutants, eer1 and epi, as well as the existing ethylene-insensitive mutants ein5 and ein6, will certainly expand our knowledge of the ethylene signal transduction pathway.

**Genetic engineering of ethylene sensitivity**

Current progress in the understanding of ethylene perception and signal transduction pathway at the molecular level provides potential methods for the genetic engineering of ethylene sensitivity in plants. Transgenic plants with altered ethylene sensitivity should promote the understanding of...
how plants regulate the sensitivity to ethylene. They are also useful for crop improvement.

On the basis of the model of ethylene perception and signal transduction pathway in plants (Figure 2), various strategies for altering ethylene sensitivity have been provided. Studies of ethylene receptors from Arabidopsis have suggested that these receptors are negative regulators of the ethylene response. A loss of function mutation would then create a plant with a constitutive ethylene response, since it would have lost the ability to repress signalling. A mutation of the ethylene binding domain would create a plant that is insensitive to ethylene, since it would have lost the ability to bind ethylene and would remain in the OFF position. A semi-dominant version of this mutation is found in Nt tomato plants and results in ethylene insensitivity, so that fruits fail to ripen. Other tomato receptor proteins analogous to the Arabidopsis family of receptor proteins include LeETR1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and NR. Various attempts have been made to alter the expression of these receptors with the aim of changing the ethylene response. When one of the receptor genes is expressed in a sense direction, the amount of the ethylene receptor protein should increase in the transgenic plants. Consequently, the transgenic plants should show reduced sensitivity to ethylene because a large amount of ethylene is required to reject the inactivation of CTR1 by ethylene receptors, which result in the activation of EIN2 in the transgenic plant compared to the wild type plant. Another example is when an antisense version of the LeETR4 gene was used to lower the amount of mRNA translated, tomato plants exhibited severe epinasty and faster fruit ripening. However, the number of transgenic plants with the sense gene is lower than those with the antisense gene or mutant gene, suggesting some function of the sense gene in the transgenic plant. On the other hand, transgenic plants expressing the antisense gene of ethylene receptor should show increased sensitivity to ethylene, because the transgenic plants will require a small amount of ethylene for rejecting the down regulation of EIN2 compared to the wild type plants.

Analysis of ethylene receptor proteins and mutant plants shows that the former have two important residues for their function, residues responsible for ethylene binding and histidine kinase activity. Therefore, if we introduced mutation in these residues and transform these genes to plants, it is expected that the transgenic plants will show altered sensitivity to ethylene. The receptor protein with a mutation in ethylene binding residue cannot bind ethylene and constitutively activates CTR1 even in the presence of ethylene. Transgenic plants expressing the mutant receptor should confer insensitivity to ethylene. ctr1 mutants of Arabidopsis have mutation in such sites of the ETR1 protein. When the ctr1-1 gene was introduced into tomato and petunia, both transgenic plants showed insensitivity to ethylene. On the other hand, when the ethylene receptor protein with a mutation in the residue responsible for histidine kinase activity is overexpressed, competition for ethylene binding between mutants and wild type proteins could occur. Consequently, a large amount of ethylene is required to stop CTR1 activation. Transgenic plants should show reduced sensitivity to ethylene. Table 1 contains a list of plants with altered sensitivity to ethylene, created by manipulation or insertion of genes from the ethylene response pathway.

Drought is one of the most important abiotic stresses affecting the productivity of maize. Previous studies have shown that expression of a mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase (MAPKKK) gene activated an oxidative signal cascade and led to the tolerance of freezing, heat, and salinity stress in transgenic tobacco. To analyse the role of activation of oxidative stress signalling in improving drought tolerance in major crops, Shou et al. expressed a tobacco MAPKKK (NPK1) in maize. Results show that NPK1 expression enhanced drought tolerance in transgenic maize. Under drought conditions, transgenic maize plants maintained significantly higher photosynthesis rates than did the non-transgenic control, suggesting that NPK1 induced a mechanism that protected the photosynthesis machinery from dehydration damage. In addition, drought-stressed transgenic plants produced kernels with weights similar to those under well-watered conditions, while kernel weights of drought-stressed non-transgenic control plants were significantly reduced when compared with their non-stressed counterparts.

In the case of using the components of the ethylene signalling pathway, two strategies, expression of sense or antisense genes, will be effective in regulating ethylene sensitivity. The ctr1 null mutant showed constitutive sensitivity to ethylene. Therefore, if we express CTR1 and its homologues in a sense direction, the transgenic plants might show reduced sensitivity. However, if we express the gene in an antisense direction, transgenic plants should show increased sensitivity. ein2 and ein3 mutants showed insensitivity to ethylene. Therefore, if we overexpress EIN2, EIN3 and the homologues in a sense direction, transgenic plants should show the constitutive response to ethylene, while if we express them in an antisense direction, transgenic plants should show reduced response. Actually, overexpression of EIN3 in Arabidopsis conferred the constitutive ethylene response. Another example, a loss-of-function mutation in the copper transport system (ran1) that is essential for ethylene action, was used to create an Arabidopsis plant with relaxed ligand specificity. The result of this mutation is a constitutive triple response (ctr) Arabidopsis mutant found to have a recessive mutation in a serine/threonine protein kinase usually involved in ethylene signalling. Thus, a mutation in the copper transporter responsible for providing copper ions to receptors in the plant secretory pathway causes the formation of receptors that are not selective and allows a response in the absence of specific signals.

Overexpression of DNA-binding elements in the ethylene response pathway, denoted as ethylene-responsive element
### Table 1. Plants with altered ethylene perception

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene/promoter</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Plant transformed</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>At etr1-1</em> with CaMV 35S</td>
<td>Tomato: Delayed abscission of flowers and ripening of fruit</td>
<td>Tomato and Petunia</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-terminus of <em>etr1-1</em> fused to histidine kinase domain of tomato NR cDNA with FMV 35S</td>
<td>Petunia: Delayed abscission and senescence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>At etr1-1</em> with its own promoter</td>
<td>Enhanced basal ethylene production Sensitivity to ABA, no C2H4 feedback control Reduced leaf senescence Non-host sensitivity to soil fungus</td>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ran1-1; ran1-2; eto1</em> and <em>eto1</em> with CaMV 35S</td>
<td><em>ran-1</em> caused constitutive ethylene response</td>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Antisense LeETR4 and antisense NR</em></td>
<td>Lowered level of LeETR4 mRNA Severe epinasty Enhanced flower senescence Accelerating fruit ripening Reduced level of NR mRNA Normal ethylene sensitivity</td>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR cDNA with FMV promoter</td>
<td>Lower sensitivity to ethylene than NR mutant</td>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR antisense with CaMV 35S promoter</td>
<td>Fruit ripened normally; levels of <em>PSY1, ACO1</em> (ripening-related genes) and E4 (ethylene responsive genes) were normal</td>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tsi1</em> with CaMV 35S promoter</td>
<td>No increased transcription of genes with DRE/CRT box Tolerance to high salt Tolerance to bacterial inoculation</td>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LeETR1</em> with antisense receiver domain</td>
<td>Delayed abscission Reduced plant size Normal fruit ripening Normal triple response</td>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two copies of <em>Pti4</em> cDNA from tomato with CaMV 35S promoter</td>
<td>Constitutive ethylene response Expression of GCC box containing PR proteins</td>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosuppression of <em>PhEIN2</em> and <em>PhEIL3</em></td>
<td>Decreased sensitivity to ethylene Five times longer flower life Three times as many flowers as control</td>
<td>Petunia</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>At etr1-1</em> with CaMV 35S promoter</td>
<td>Anther development unaffected Development of ovaries and ovule unaffected Final event of dehiscence delayed</td>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>NPK1</em> with CaMV 35S promoter</td>
<td>Enhanced drought tolerance Higher photosynthetic rate High kernel weights</td>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cm-ERS1/H70A</em> with CaMV 35S promoter</td>
<td>Reduced ethylene sensitivity Increased flowering duration Enhances formation of infection threads and nodule primordial</td>
<td><em>Lotus japonicus</em></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>NTHK1</em> with CaMV 35S</td>
<td>Reduced ethylene sensitivity Induction of <em>AtLecRK2</em> in response to salt stress Induction inhibited by <em>NTHK1</em></td>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>At ETR1</em> with CaMV 35S</td>
<td>Exposure to NaCl reduced expression of ETR1 Plant response to abiotic stress</td>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>At-ERS1</em> with CaMV 35S</td>
<td>Leaf and flower senescence delayed Ethylene-insensitive phenotype</td>
<td>Arabidopsis</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
binding factors, has been used to study their role in ethylene action and stress tolerance. For example, in one study an oilseed rape salt-induced transcript was used to produce a probe for a tobacco cDNA library. The sequence isolated was named Tsi1, for tobacco stress-induced-1, and was then overexpressed in tobacco to study the effects on ethylene dependent transcription. In this experiment, results included transcription of pathogen-related proteins, an increased tolerance to high salt, and increased pathogen resistance. Wu et al. used the same approach to study the function of a tomato transcription factor, Pti4. When expressed in Arabidopsis, under the control of a strong constitutive promoter, there was an increased expression of GCC box-containing genes. This sequence has been associated with a set of ethylene responsive genes that among other things, encode pathogen resistance. These examples demonstrate that the ethylene response pathway is conserved in different plants so that elements of this pathway can be genetically manipulated, to change the amount and type of ethylene inducible genes that are expressed.

Through the analysis of ethylene insensitive mutants and transgenic plants of Arabidopsis, tomato and petunia, it is expected that transgenic plants conferring reduced sensitivity or insensitivity to ethylene show altered phenotypes like long shelf-life of fruits, flowers and leaves, and delayed growth transition from vegetative to reproductive growth. These alterations will be useful for a variety of crops with commercial importance.

The ripening of climacteric fruits, including apple, avocado, melon, tomato, banana, peach and persimmon is rapidly progressed by ethylene. Abscission in cut flowers like carnation, rose, snapdragon and sweet pea, and potted plants like Christmas cactus, Impatiens and Pelargonium is also progressed by ethylene. In order to prevent ripening, senescence and abscission of these crops, a variety of storage systems like controlled atmosphere storage and hypobaric storage have been developed. These systems sometimes result in the crops becoming more expensive. Alternatively, the crops have been harvested at the immature stage for extending the post-harvest life. However, harvest at the immature stage results in problems like low quality of fruits and less volume of cut-flowers. Crops conferring insensitivity or reduced sensitivity to ethylene could reduce post-harvest loss. They allow the storage of crops without specialized storage systems and such crops may be harvested at the mature stage. This results in reducing the cost and increasing the quality. Moreover, these transgenic plants will contribute to reduce post-harvest losses in countries like India, where we do not have good storage systems for crops. Bolting of Chinese cabbage, lettuce and spinach limits the period of their production. If we can delay the bolting time of these crops, it will be possible to cultivate them for a longer period. Since ethylene-insensitive plants tend to delay bolting time, genetically engineered crops conferring insensitivity or reduced sensitivity to ethylene could contribute to overcoming this problem as well.

The above-mentioned results suggest that ethylene production either increases due to the lack of negative feedback when receptors are non-functional or decreases when ethylene binding is impaired, but mutations in or truncations of the receiver domain only confer partial ethylene insensitivity. Whitelaw et al., for example, saw tomato flowers stay on the stem longer in plants with LeETRI with an inverted receiver domain; yet these plants had a normal triple response. For each type of manipulation of the receptor proteins, there is a different response and more research is needed to clarify their precise role in ethylene sensitivity.

Conclusion/perspective

As knowledge of the ethylene response in plants increases, the number of strategies for altering this response is also increasing. There is considerable commercial interest in developing fruits that will not ripen until given a specific external cue, or having flowers with an extended shelf life, or plants that are able to withstand a range of environmental stresses. By modulating ethylene levels, the production of transgenic plants that are more robust than their non-transformed counterparts in the face of pathogen attack, flooding, drought, or high salinity is readily attainable for a variety of plants. Ethylene is a pivotal signalling molecule, and while its connection to all plant processes is not completely understood, a picture is emerging of a simple molecule whose concentration can be manipulated to create many desirable traits in plants. Understanding molecular events that lead to the alteration of ethylene sensitivity in transgenic plants with ethylene receptor genes may be a clue to elucidate the mechanism of how plants regulate the sensitivity to ethylene. Since the differences in the expression of each ethylene receptor gene may account for the regulation of sensitivity to ethylene, it is necessary that the mechanisms of how plants regulate the expression of ethylene receptor genes be understood. Consequently, analysis of promoters of ethylene receptor genes and the trans-acting factors will be required. The knowledge obtained by such analysis will contribute not only to the explanation of how plants regulate their sensitivity to ethylene, but also to the application of genetic engineering of ethylene sensitivity to make crop improvements. Future studies to characterize the interaction among pathway components will reveal more detailed information about how ethylene synthesis and signalling are regulated and how they may interact with components of other pathways. One particularly useful approach using the whole genome-based DNA chip technology will be obviously an effective means to examine the regulation of expression of ethylene and other hormone/stress signalling genes.
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