Non-invasive ophthalmic imaging of adult zebrafish eye using optical coherence tomography
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We describe the development of a single-mode fibre based optical coherence tomography set-up and its use for non-invasive optical imaging of adult zebrafish (Danio rerio) eyes. The free-space axial and lateral resolutions of the set-up were estimated to be ~11 and 17 µm respectively. Images of whole eye, cornea and retina acquired with the set-up have been used to estimate several ocular parameters, viz. corneal thickness, mean retinal thickness and effective refractive index of the crystalline lens.
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USE of optical techniques for biomedical imaging is a topic of considerable current interest. This is motivated by the potential of optical techniques to provide sub-millimetre resolution imaging without the need for ionizing radiation and associated risks. The fundamental problem with optical imaging is that in contrast to X-rays, optical photons are strongly scattered in the tissue, which leads to blurring of the image. Several approaches can be used to pick out the useful image-bearing light from the background multiply scattered light. These exploit the depolarization or loss of coherence of scattered light or the fact that scattered light emerges from the tissue in all directions and also takes a longer time to emerge compared to the unscattered (ballistic) or predominantly forward-scattered (snake-like) components. The latter essentially travels in the forward direction and so arrives earlier. Coherence gating filters-out ballistic photons with the highest image information and hence can provide images with high resolution. Optical coherence tomography (OCT), the approach that exploits coherence gating for optical imaging has emerged as a rapid, non-contact and noninvasive, high-resolution imaging technique and is finding clinical applications in ophthalmology, dermatology, etc. The two essential components of an OCT set-up are a low coherence light source and a Michelson interferometer set-up, one arm of which has the sample and the other arm a reference mirror. Light reflected from a layer of the sample and the reference mirror will interfere when the two path lengths are within the coherence length of the source, which is typically less than 10 µm. Axial scanning of the reference mirror helps record interferograms from different depths of the sample. Further, scanning of the reference mirror at constant speed results in Doppler shift of the reference signal. Interference of the Doppler-shifted reference signal with that reflected from a specific depth in the sample (such that the optical path length of the two interferometer arms are within coherence length) results in interference signal at Doppler frequency, which can be isolated from the rest of the backscattered signal by a suitable bandpass filter or lock-in detection system. Two-dimensional cross-sectional images and three-dimensional tomograms of the backscattered intensity distribution within the sample can be obtained by recording the interference signals from various axial and transverse positions on the sample. Two-dimensional cross-sectional images are constructed by performing measurements of the echo time delay of light at different transverse positions by scanning either the light beam or the sample.

Here we report the implementation of single-mode fibre-based OCT set-up and demonstrate its use for imaging of adult zebrafish eye (Danio rerio). Zebrafish, a popular aquarium fish, has emerged as a powerful new tool to understand ocular development and a variety of human diseases like retinal detachment and blindness. The retina and lens of zebrafish eye show similar morphology as those of other vertebrates, including humans. Various mutants have been identified in zebrafish that have relevance to human disease like retinal defects. For studies in these areas, measurement of several ocular parameters of the zebrafish, like refractive index of the crystalline lens and mean retinal thickness is required. Presently, most of these measurements are being carried out on extracted eyes. We have, therefore, investigated the use of OCT for non-invasive in situ imaging of intact zebrafish eye. The use of OCT images to measure important ocular parameters like corneal thickness, effective refractive index of the crystalline lens and retinal thickness is discussed.

Figure 1 shows a schematic of the OCT set-up. The output of the superluminescent diode (SLD) with a centre wavelength of ~840 nm was coupled into a fibre optic-based Michelson interferometer using a 2 × 2 beam splitter designed for the wavelength used. The light beam in the

Figure 1. Schematic of experimental set-up.
sample arm was collimated and focused onto the sample with a 10X microscopic objective. The reference mirror was mounted on a linear translation stage and scanned back and forth with uniform velocity, resulting in a Doppler shift of 45 kHz. Light reflected from both the sample and reference arms was detected by a photodiode (PD) and the resulting interferogram was amplified using a transimpedance amplifier (TIA) and demodulated using a lock-in amplifier. The interferogram envelope was digitized and acquired in a PC using a data acquisition card (DAQ). Lateral scanning was done using a stepper motor. Software based on Labview was used to control the entire set-up. The free space axial and lateral resolution of the set-up were estimated to be ~11 and 17 µm respectively. The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the set-up was measured to be ~100 dB. Typical image (500 × 100 pixels) acquisition time was about 1 min. The OCT images have been false-colour coded such that white represents highest backscattering intensity. The maximum power incident on the sample was ~0.5 mW that is well below the ANSI limits for ocular damage.

In Figure 2a (bottom panel), we show a typical interferogram obtained using a mirror in the sample arm of the interferometer. The corresponding demodulated envelope is shown in the top panel. From this we estimate an axial resolution of ~11 µm. Figure 2b shows the interferogram of a microscopic cover slip with two peaks corresponding to the air–glass and glass–air interfaces. From the measured peak separation of 231 µm (optical path length difference) and assuming glass refractive index ~1.5, we estimate a physical thickness of ~154 µm for the cover slip, which is in good agreement with the value measured using a calliper.

The lateral resolution (Δx) of the set-up is given by focal spot size on the sample, Δx = (4λ/π) (f/d), where f is the focal length of the imaging lens and d is the diameter of the beam on the lens. With a 10X microscopic objective, lateral resolution of 17 µm is estimated.

We used the set-up for imaging of adult zebrafish eye. The fish was procured from local aquarium suppliers. For imaging, the fish was anaesthetized using clove oil following the protocols given by Grush et al.9 Briefly, clove oil was dissolved in ethanol (1 : 10) and then mixed in 1 l of water in a glass tank, such that the clove oil concentration is about ~75–100 ppm. The fish lost physical movement within 10 min. When the fish showed no response to physical stimulus, it was transferred to a petri dish for imaging. After imaging, the fish was observed to revive within ~1 h after transfer to a freshwater tank. Due to close index matching of cornea and water during imaging, the fish eye had to be slightly projected outside the water while the gills remained in water. In Figure 3a we show the in vivo OCT image of the whole eye of the anaesthetized zebrafish kept in water. While the cornea, the anterior angle region and the retina are clearly seen, the lens is not clearly visible in the image due to close index
matching of the lens surface with the surrounding fluid. During the course of several experiments carried out to image the lens as well, it was found that the lens could be imaged with better contrast after dipping the fish in a petri dish containing 10% formalin solution for ~15–30 min. However, the fish could not be revived in this case.

In Figure 3b, we show the image after the fish was dipped in 10% formalin solution. The lens (L) as well as other ocular structures like cornea (C), iris (I), and retina (R) are clearly visible. Figure 4 shows the in vivo image of the cornea of zebrafish. The image is in good agreement with that published in Swamynathan et al. using light microscopy. Corneal thickness in fish is an important parameter that is affected by environmental stress and infection. The maximum corneal thickness seen by the OCT beam was measured to be ~34 µm. Assuming a corneal refractive index of 1.33, the geometrical thickness of zebrafish cornea, in the region where the OCT light is perpendicular to the cornea, turned out to be 26 µm (±11 µm). In their experiments on zebrafish cornea extracted from dissected eyeballs, Swamynathan et al. estimated its thickness to range from 16 to 20 µm. The difference in the two measurements may be due to the difference in the protocol and may illustrate the usefulness of in vivo measurements. It should be noted that since the resolution of our set-up is ~11 µm, we could not resolve the epithelium layer of the cornea, whose thickness has been reported to be ~8 µm. Use of a ultra-high resolution OCT set-up will be required for quantitative comparison of corneal thickness and microstructures therein.

The anterior chamber angle is an important parameter in the structure of the eye. Narrowing of this angle increases the risk of glaucoma. Noninvasive measurement of anterior chamber angle is therefore vital for characterization of structural changes in the anterior region and changes in the intraocular pressure (IOP). In vivo imaging of the anterior chambers of different mutant variants of zebrafish may help in better understanding of the genetics of glaucoma. Figure 5 shows the in vivo OCT image of the anterior region of the eye. The anterior chamber angle of the cornea with the iris is clearly visualized.

Figure 6 shows the expanded OCT scan of the zebrafish retina with the layered structure. Due to the small retinal thickness and poor axial resolution compared to histology, assigning the layers to specific landmarks for distinct characterization is difficult. However, some broad categorization is possible. The first reflective interface posterior to the lens at the top of the image in Figure 5 represents the anterior border of the retina (vitreo–retinal interface) with the retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) at the vitreous interface. The outermost band is thought to be that of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE)–choriocapillaris complex showing high scattering. Assuming a retinal refractive index of 1.38 and considering the band above RPE, the retinal thickness has been estimated as 123 ± 12 µm. This is quite reasonable in comparison with the mean retinal thickness of ~85 µm for wild-type zebrafish reported by Link et al. considering the fact that their measurements are on resected eyes.

In Figure 7 we show the OCT image of the whole eye of a zebrafish when dipped in 10% formalin solution, taken at a different lateral position. Along the apex of the lens (when the OCT beam was exactly perpendicular to the cornea) some scattering inside the lens was also seen, indicating that the crystalline lens is of a gradient index type. An interesting observation was that although the lens of the fish eye is known to be spherical in shape, the diameters of the lens as measured by OCT were different along the axial and lateral directions. This is because, while in axial direction the measured diameter is the optical length.

**Figure 4.** In vivo OCT image of cornea of zebrafish. Size of the image is 0.4 mm in depth and 0.9 mm in lateral direction.

**Figure 5.** In vivo OCT image of anterior angle of the cornea with the iris of zebrafish. Size of the image is 1.3 mm in depth and 0.7 mm in lateral direction.

**Figure 6.** In vivo OCT image of retina of zebrafish. Size of the image is 1 mm in depth and 0.7 mm in lateral direction.
of the lens (geometrical path × effective refractive index), in the lateral direction it is the true geometrical diameter. The difference in the ratio of the measured diameters can be used to estimate the effective index of refraction of the lens medium. From the image shown in Figure 2, effective refractive index of ~ 1.43 was estimated for the zebrafish crystalline lens at the centre wavelength of the source (840 nm). The crystalline lens of the fish is usually assumed to be spherical with a gradient refractive index that varies from approximately 1.35–1.38 at the surface to 1.55–1.57 at the core of the lens\textsuperscript{12,13}.

The refractive index of the fish lens was also measured using focus-tracking method described by Wang et al.\textsuperscript{14}. The sample arm light was focused using a high numerical aperture (NA) microscopic objective (20X) with the focal plane set initially at the top surface of the lens. This was achieved by monitoring the intensity of the A-scan peak, and maximizing the corresponding peak of the lens surface. Once the focus was set at the top of the lens surface, the objective was moved a distance Δx such that the bottom surface of the lens came into focus. The corresponding change in the reference mirror position (Δy) required to get back the A-scan peak intensity was noted. The effective refractive index was determined using the expression\textsuperscript{14}.

\[
n^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ NA^2 + \sqrt{NA^4 + 4(n_0^2 - NA^2)\left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}\right)^2} \right], \tag{1}
\]

where \( n_0 \) is the refractive index of the surrounding medium of the tissue. Before applying this technique to fish lens, we used it to measure the refractive index of quartz window. The measured value of 1.445 @840 nm was found to be in good agreement with the reported value\textsuperscript{14}. From the measurements, we estimate the effective (integrated) refractive index of the fish lens as ~ 1.43 @840 nm within 3% rms deviation.

The effective refractive index can also be computed assuming the refractive index variation along the beam direction to be of parabolic form\textsuperscript{15} given by

\[
n(z) = n_0 \sqrt{1 - \frac{z^2}{a^2}}, \tag{2}
\]

where \( n_0 \) is the maximum refractive index at the centre of the lens and \( a \) is a constant that indicates the steepness of the gradient in the refractive index. The effective refractive index of the lens (\( n_{\text{eff}} \)) as seen by the OCT beam is then given by

\[
n_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\int_{-r}^{r} n(z)dz}{2r}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \int_{-r}^{r} n(z)dz \) is the total optical path along the apex of the lens as seen by the OCT beam and \( r \) is the radius of the spherical lens. Using OCT, \( r \) was measured to be 0.46 mm. The index of the lens surface is reported to be in the range 1.35–1.38 at 632.8 nm\textsuperscript{12,13}. As the OCT reflection (@840 nm) from the lens surface was feeble (possibly due to close index matching of lens with surrounding fluid), we assume the index at the lens surface to be 1.34. We calculated the effective refractive index from eq. (3) using different values of core index \( n_0 \) in the range 1.54–1.59. Using \( n_0 = 1.54 \) yields effective refractive index \( n_{\text{eff}} \sim 1.476 \), closer to the experimentally measured value.

The relative error in the estimation of effective refractive index of the crystalline lens using the OCT method can be estimated by

\[
\frac{\delta n_{\text{eff}}}{n_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{\delta D_z}{D_z} - \frac{\delta D_x}{D_x}, \tag{4}
\]

where \( D_z \) is the diameter of the lens along the axial direction, \( D_x \) is the diameter of the lens along the lateral direction, and \( \delta D_z \) and \( \delta D_x \) are the uncertainties in measurement of the diameters along the axial and lateral directions respectively. Using the values \( \delta D_z \sim 11 \mu \text{m} \) (axial resolution), and \( \delta D_x \sim 27 \mu \text{m} \) (lateral resolution with 5X objective), the relative error \( |\delta n_{\text{eff}}/n_{\text{eff}}| \) is estimated to be ~ 2%. Taking the measured value of \( n_{\text{eff}} \sim 1.43 \), the absolute error in the effective refractive index estimate is \( \delta n_{\text{eff}} \sim 0.029 \). The discrepancy between the estimated effective refractive index (1.43) and computed value (1.476) is therefore not appreciable. Further, to the best of our knowledge there are no experimental measurements of refractive index of zebrafish lens. Even though the fish could not be revived after these measurements, it did not require separation of the lens from the fish eye unlike the previous studies by Garner et al.\textsuperscript{15}, who used MRI for determination of gradient index of fish lens after physical separation. The OCT method allows the fish to be intact for further biological/biochemical studies, if necessary.

In summary, single-mode fibre-based OCT set-up has been developed and ophthalmic imaging of adult zebrafish eyes has been carried out. The measured corneal and retinal thicknesses are in reasonable agreement with the previously reported values. The effective refractive index \( n_{\text{eff}} \) was estimated to be ~ 1.43 (± 0.03) and was independ-
ently confirmed with the index measured using focus tracking method.
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Molecular cloning and sequencing of a polymorphic band from rubber tree [Hevea brasiliensis (Muell.) Arg.]: the nucleotide sequence revealed partial homology with proline-specific permease gene sequence†
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Rubber tree (Hevea brasiliensis Muell. Arg.) is mainly cultivated in high rainfall areas (traditional). Identification of DNA marker or genes could be highly useful to develop drought-tolerant clones or screen Hevea seedling populations, which will eventually extend the rubber cultivation in non-traditional areas (under irrigation). RAPD analysis is a valuable tool in studying genetic variation and for identification of specific gene fragments. Genomic DNAs from 37 clones were used for RAPD analysis by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), where the total and unique number of polymorphic bands varied with the clones and primers. Certain amplified bands appeared to be common to some clones, whereas others were present only in a few clones. RAPD markers specific to one or few clones were identified, with the results being reproduced at least three times. There were two DNA markers (1.2 and 1.4 kb) scored by presence vs absence of specific amplification products with OPB-12 primer. Of the two bands, a 1.4 kb RAPD marker was the most promising DNA fragment which was isolated, cloned and the nucleotide sequence determined and revealed certain homology with Saccharomyces cerevisiae proline-specific permease gene. Specific DNA technology, such as the use of SCAR (sequence characterized amplified region) markers, can be used to differentiate among Hevea clones to validate this RAPD marker. SCAR marker was created by sequencing a single RAPD band and designing primers to amplify the band of specific size. The SCAR primers amplify a band of 1.4 kb from only 14 among 37 clones used under more stringent PCR conditions. This SCAR marker could be used as genetic marker to screen the Hevea seedling populations for specific trait or gene from single PCR-based method.
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Rubber (cis-1,4-polyisoprene), an isoprenoid polymer with no known physiological function to the plant, is an

†The sequence described in this work has been deposited in the NCBI GenBank database under accession number AY130828.
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